

The Local Government Ombudsman's Annual Letter **Broads Authority**for the year ended 31 March 2007

The Local Government Ombudsman (LGO) investigates complaints by members of the public who consider that they have been caused injustice through administrative fault by local authorities and certain other bodies. The LGO also uses the findings from investigation work to help authorities provide better public services through initiatives such as special reports, training and annual letters.

Annual Letter 2006/07 - Introduction

The aim of the annual letter is to provide a summary of information on the complaints about the Broads Authority that we have received.

Yours is a small authority which means that the information we can provide is limited but I hope that this letter will be a useful addition to other information your authority holds about services to people who live in your area and that it will provide information about our service.

There are two attachments which form an integral part of this letter: statistical data covering a three year period and a note to help the interpretation of the statistics.

Complaints received

Volume

We received only one complaint during the year, as against three in the previous year.

Character

While we expect complaint numbers to vary from year to year, your authority's numbers always tend to be low. Generally, the complaints we receive are about planning but this year's complaint was about the dredging of a section of the Broads.

Decisions on complaints

Reports and settlements

We use the term 'local settlement' to describe the outcome of a complaint where, during the course of our investigation, the Authority takes, or agrees to take, some action which we consider is a satisfactory response to the complaint and the investigation does not need to be completed. These form a significant proportion of the complaints we determine. When we complete an investigation we must issue a report.

There were no grounds to seek a local settlement and I issued no reports against the Authority during the year.

Other findings

We decided one complaint during the year and no maladministration was identified.

Your Authority's complaints procedure and handling of complaints

I have not identified any concerns about the way that your authority deals with complaints. Your website is clear and informative, although you may wish to include our telephone number for those people who find it harder to deal with complaints in writing.

Training in complaint handling

As part of our role to provide advice in good administrative practice, we offer training courses for all levels of local authority staff in complaints handling and investigation. The feedback from courses that have been delivered over the past two and a half years is very positive.

The range of courses is expanding in response to demand and in addition to the generic Good Complaint Handing (identifying and processing complaints) and Effective Complaint Handling (investigation and resolution) we can run open courses for groups of staff from smaller authorities and also customise courses to meet your council's specific requirements.

All courses are presented by an experienced investigator so participants benefit from their knowledge and expertise of complaint handling.

I have enclosed some information on the full range of courses available together with contact details for enquiries and any further bookings.

Liaison with the Local Government Ombudsman

We made enquiries on one complaint this year, and you responded within our timescale of 28 days. Approximately half of the equivalent size authorities nationally reply within our target timescale and your authority has a reputation for giving complaints to me prompt attention.

LGO developments

I thought it would be helpful to update you on a project we are implementing to improve the first contact that people have with us as part of our customer focus initiative. We are developing a new Access and Advice Service that will provide a gateway to our services for all complainants and enquirers. It will be mainly telephone-based but will also deal with email, text and letter correspondence. As the project progresses we will keep you informed about developments and expected timescales.

Changes brought about by the Local Government Bill are also expected to impact on the way we work and again we will keep you informed as relevant.

We have just issued a special report that draws on our experience of dealing with complaints about planning applications for phone masts considered under the prior approval system, which can be highly controversial. We recommend simple measures that councils can adopt to minimise the problems that can occur.

A further special report will be published in July focusing on the difficulties that can be encountered when complaints are received by local authorities about services delivered through a partnership. Local partnerships and citizen redress sets out our advice and guidance on how these problems can be overcome by adopting good governance arrangements that include an effective complaints protocol.

Conclusions and general observations

I welcome this opportunity to give you my reflections about the complaints my office has dealt with over the past year. I hope that you find the information and assessment provided useful when seeking improvements to the Authority's services.

J R White Local Government Ombudsman The Oaks No 2 Westwood Way Westwood Business Park Coventry CV4 8JB

June 2007

Enc: Statistical data

Note on interpretation of statistics Details of training courses

| Complaints received by subject area | Other | Planning & building control | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-------|
| 01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2005 / 2006 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 2004 / 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Note: these figures will include complaints that were made prematurely to the Ombudsman and which we referred back to the authority for consideration.

| Decisions | MI reps | LS | M reps | NM reps | No mal | Omb disc | Outside jurisdiction | Premature complaints | Total excl premature | Total |
|-------------------------|---------|----|--------|---------|--------|----------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| 01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2005 / 2006 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 2004 / 2005 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

See attached notes for an explanation of the headings in this table.

| | FIRST ENQUIRIES | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| Response times | No. of First Enquiries | Avg no. of days to respond | | |
| 01/04/2006 - 31/03/2007 | 1 | 26.0 | | |
| 2005 / 2006 | 2 | 24.5 | | |
| 2004 / 2005 | 0 | 0.0 | | |

Average local authority response times 01/04/2006 to 31/03/2007

| Types of authority | <= 28 days | 29 - 35 days | > = 36 days |
|---------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| | % | % | % |
| District Councils | 48.9 | 23.4 | 27.7 |
| Unitary Authorities | 30.4 | 37.0 | 32.6 |
| Metropolitan Authorities | 38.9 | 41.7 | 19.4 |
| County Councils | 47.1 | 32.3 | 20.6 |
| London Boroughs | 39.4 | 33.3 | 27.3 |
| National Park Authorities | 66.7 | 33.3 | 0.0 |

Printed: 11/05/2007 11:57